

2019-05-17 Meeting notes

Date

17 May 2019

Attendees

- VM (Vicky) Brasseur (she/her)
- Casey Cain
- Randy Bias
- Darien Hirotsu
- Rudra Dubey
- Edward Ting
- Phil Robb
- Sukhdev Kapur
- Daniel Pono Takamori
- Valentin Sinitsyn
- Will Stevens
- Prabhjot Singh Sethi
- Joseph Gasparakis

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- [Antitrust Policy](#)
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes))
- 5.1
- What to do about the ARB
- CLA update
 - <https://docs.linuxfoundation.org/display/DOCS/Contributor+License+Agreement+User+Guide>
- General Topics
- NCDC Agenda, Speakers, Workshop or Tutorial, Marketing announcement.
- Security vulnerability topic

Minutes

- **Action items:**
 - Will: haven't started working with devstack, currently going through docs
 - docs census: [Docs Census](#) what else do we need to fill out
 - while moving repos to LF gerrit, trying to understand user/ contributor experience
 - document process and make sure everything is in place
 - Sukhdev: documentation for 5.0 should work for 5.1
 - sent api docs to docs@
 - Jira changes still on hold
 - PTL on hold
 - Edward: has list of gerrit patches
 - Darien: New blog post in progress
 - Casey: need a quote for pricings for merch
 - Alex: not on call, come back for nightlies
- **Technical Work Stream doodle sent out**
 - please vote! - <https://doodle.com/poll/tut84uk8pdign8qz>
- 5.1
 - adhoc process for making tungsten release from juniper release
 - pono will lead a team for documenting a tungsten release
 - alex levine, will stevens, sukhdev, paul carver, joseph, edward
 - will become a more reproducible process from a clean manifest
 - **standing action item**
 - sukhdev: process on openconrail site, juniper says it's valid
 - if community can reproduce, then it's working
 - then we can move to tungsten namespace
 - randy: no clear way to know what's in the release (openconrail)
 - no differentiation between openconrail and tungsten at the moment
 - document not just process but where can we get a definitive list of what's in the release
 - work with sukhdev to redact and resend the list of differences
 - keep on the dev@ list
 - edward: developer sandbox
 - tag for 5.1
 - sukhdev: ask edward about cutting releases

- delayed but no known bugs
 - vicky: email the marketing team about what you want in blog post about 5.1 release
 - darien: there's a blog posting schedule and I receive emails for marketing
 - **ARB**
 - sukhdev: how to separate out projects into ui, controlplane, etc
 - setup a PTL for this
 - each PTL owns their respective code, or each repo gets a PTL?
 - hand off ownership to PTLs and getting rid of ARB
 - preemptive to dissolve ARB without another structure in place
 - vicky: how to open up so we *can* start getting contributions
 - joseph: need people to lead
 - vicky: probably juniper folks to bootstrap projects, mentor the community
 - [Joseph Gasparakis](#) : volunteers to lead router
 - [Valentin Sinityn Prabhjot Singh Sethi Rudra Dubey](#) volunteer as well
 - casey: should migrate to this model
 - joseph: import for LFN TAC
 - moving to arb with mostly juniper to PTLs with different affiliations is a Good Thing
 - vicky: we're all in agreement
 - sukhdev: let people step up to lead the PTLs
 - there will be some uneasiness from Juniper people about handing over control to PTLs
 - suggests: have community start pushing code into PTL sections to show commitment
 - casey: agrees, PTLs should be submitting code
 - gives them technical expertise and weight in what to approve and how to guide advancement
 - transition time, balance of juniper folks involvement with mentoring and growing PTLs
 - prabhjot: starts with juniper folks leading PTLs and then transition to active parts of community
 - will: how to work with juniper to lead open source development at first
 - figure out how to wane control to community
 - how to we work together to fulfill needs
 - casey: setup PTLs via blueprints and then figure out how leads
 - define committers, PTL, could list contributors
 - how to leverage outreach events and venues and have a place to point interested folks
 - will take a while to setup
 - set some plans and then bring proposal plans to TSC
 - sukhdev: within juniper they are moving towards monthly releases, next in june
 - community should be ready to address that
 - how do we want to deal with this?
 - vicky: reiterates, and points out the release team will be instrumental
 - casey: take release plans and figure out how to do a tungsten release
 - vicky: will still need to rely on juniper for the time being
 - sukhdev: all the work juniper is doing is upstream (less proprietary features)
 - edward: faster release schedule has been mentioned so good time to start thinking about it
 - casey: monthly is fast and could be good
 - can we get a release plan from juniper? and see how community responds
 - since we haven't developed a release plan, we might be able to leverage or at least feel it out
 - vicky: if this is going upstream, there should be public blueprints
 - can work with sukhdev to make sure blueprints are getting done appropriately
 - as TF, we have to find and document the process extensively
 - before we get to a release process, we'll need to have a good workflow for these things
 - casey: this should let the ARB/ PTL members train to become better
 - randy: juniper has resources for QA
 - TF cannot get to monthly releases until CI/ testing is working
 - casey: need to get the TWS meeting going because we don't have enough time for these issues
 - vicky: governance issue, so we need to have this go through the community
 - casey: lets move this to email
 - **developing a legal subcommittee**
 - review licensing to make sure we're within charter
 - **accurately capturing minutes**
 - need to have a check and sign off of minutes
 - post minutes within 24h of meeting
 - please be more active on writing minutes and not relying on primary note takers
 - **CLA**
 - CLA tool is active and required for gerrit.tungsten.io
 - not on for gerrit for opencontrails
 - vicky: what is the process for submitting CLAs?
 - tons of questions about CLA
 - cannot use automated CLA process yet
 - casey: let's move to email
 - vicky: more hands doesn't help at the moment
 - need to get CLA stuff sorted for opencontrail
 - casey: can only do manual process at the moment
 - vicky: undefined/ undocumented and need to work on this
 - too many open questions
 - will: old system doesn't necessarily work
 - new system has some bugs, can share when we've got time
 - will work with casey about smoothing bugs
 - old and new are both borked
 - casey: forwarding CLA emails internally, even though not responding on threads
 - continue discussion on lists
 - missed items moved to next week

Action items

- Sukhdev Kapur what's different with opencontrail and tungsten 5.1 releases
- Sukhdev Kapur email marketing@ about 5.1 cool stuff