2019-06-06 TSC Meeting notes

Date

06 Jun 2019

Attendees

- · Prabhjot Singh Sethi
- Daniel Pono Takamori
- **Edward Ting**
- Casey Cain
- @alexlevine
- Will Stevens
- Darien Hirotsu
- Ian Rae
- Rudra Dubey
- Syed Ahmed

Agenda

- · Start the Recording
- Antitrust Policy
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes)
- Follow up on vote for manifest to be used for r5.1 release
 - $^{\circ}~$ Once approved docker images can be pushed to tungsten fabric namespace
 - O Do we need to publish RPMs?
- · Contrail to Tungsten fabric rebranding
 - What needs to be changed and targeted timelines
- General Topics
 - NCDC update https://wiki.tungsten.io/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=10060302
 - CLA update

Minutes

- Past action items
 - Prabhjot Singh Sethi Got Docker Hub access
- New TF repos
 - o Publish tf-event repo (tf-dev-env?)
 - Need Juniper ok to publish repos in tf namespace
- Casey Cain Needs patch for website merged

 - https://github.com/tungstenfabric/website/pulls
 will work with Will Stevensand VM (Vicky) Brasseur (she/her)for updating website
 - o will: any plans to update the email
 - wrong email address in actual CLA document describing where to send CLA
 - o casey: will update CLA document
- 5.1 release
 - o vote on manifest file
 - o will: can we allow the commit that fixes the memory leak?
 - o prabhjot: it might not make the cut, but we can have it make the next
 - o will: feels bad to release something with a bug when the fix exists
 - o casey: maybe a service release?
 - o prabhjot: would rather cut a release and then after CI exists do a service release
 - o casey: we've got quorum
 - o darien: +1 to release
 - o sukhdev: we've tested the release?
 - o prabhjot: yes, sanity test with multiple vms on a cluster
 - o sukhdev: we've picked prabhjot as the release manager for 5.1 release, so +1 to release
 - o casey: TSC still needs to vote
 - could vote since we have quorum or wait or vote over email
 - o talk about proxies
 - o come back to vote end of call or do over email
 - o ian: +1 to release
 - o ed: problems with releasing artifacts with references to 'contrail'?
 - o casey: need to follow up with lawyers about legal issues to trademark
 - o will: docs is currently working on rebranding from contrails to tungsten specific
 - keeping some contrail naming so users are not confused
 - o prabhjot: would prefer to release after clearing with legal

- o will: the 5.0 TF release also contains contrail references
 - so we might just be able to release
- o prabhjot: that was an oversight
- o ian: if 5.0 was released, we should just push ahead and address in the future
- o casey: mike dolan (lawyer with LF), "probably not"
- o ian: concern is that we keep delaying and get caught up going back and forth
- o casey: should not release with trademarked symbols
- o will: what is contrail in this context, just a user name?
- o sukhdev: if community wants to make a change, let them patch it
 - we just need resources to do it
- o will: juniper is also a part of the community, so we can't leave it up to the rest of the community
- o sukhdev: will push for a patch on juniper's side to get it done
- o ed: if documentation doesn't contain contrails, +1 to release
- $^{\circ}$ casey: juniper has a vested interest in getting the community to take over the code base
 - if someone from the community can and is willing to submit code, we can move more towards TF owning all the code
 - getting the TF code moved into LF gerrit should be the #1 priority
 - contrail is only a consumer of TF and we should not be held by them
- o sukhdev: will personally help get patches pushed into mainline
 - getting the community to own the patches and pushed into mainline is a good idea
- o ian: juniper is a part of the community
- o sukhdev: the transition is bogged by other technicalities, but we should still be pushing
- o ian: pushing past the awkwardness of the split right now is important as we move forward
 - we still need to be mindful of dealing with juniper and this transitionary period
 - let's not get into a situation where we are concerned with a trademark issue, and hoping that juniper wouldn't sue the community because of hardcoded 'contrails' in releases
- o sukhdev: let's get the patch going
- o will: it's more complicated than that, we don't know how removing/ changing it will affect the rest of the codebase
 - it's important that we have the right people make that change
- o casey: we need to try and be as careful as possible but it's not hite end of the world
 - we've already made an effort to get 5.1 out the door with minimal bugs
 - let's take the time to get the trademarks out and live in a painful time shortly
- o alex: this has been discussed internally
 - would be really difficult to do
 - first change repo names, then establish ci and tests
- o will: there's a layered effect
 - contrail will be in the dom model, it'll be all over
 - first focus on what's visible
 - alex made a good point about how to approach it
 - we cannot just do a find and replace
 - alex's approach is the most reasonable
 - focus on what's visible
 - not a super high priority
- o prabhjot: can we start a thread on rebranding?
- casey: pick this up at TWS call
- o prabhjot: let's just try and get this into email to save time
- NCDC Update
 - Please look at the agenda and let Edward Tingknow if you have any feedback.
 - o SK: Looks good to him
- CLA update
 - o talked a bit
 - o will: there's a new email to CLAs to?
 - who does that get sent to and how does it end up in gerrit
 - o casey: send to cla@tungsten.io
 - who receives that: CLA managers (list)
 - o will: who actually puts an ICLA into gerrit
 - o casey: casey, randy vicky and sukhdev have access to the repository where CLAs are stored
 - then, one of those people enable that in gerrit for whoever submitted
 - this is only for review.opencontrail.org
 - as we move to gerrit.tungsten.io, we have the automated system for CLA + gerrit
 - o will: solve for the old since that's what's happening right now
 - who's responsible for getting the cla into gerrit?
 - o casey: one of the juniper people + casey can put CLA into repository and then one of randy, vicky or sukhdev can enable in gerrit
 - o will: will test later
 - o sukhdev: didn't know I could do this, will figure it out
 - o casey: VM (Vicky) Brasseur (she/her)said she would be primary on this
- · vote on release over email
- casey is out next week for LFN event in sweden

Action items

- Casey Cainupdate old CLA document to reflect new address
- Prabhjot Singh Sethi when we have quorum, will publish dev-sandbox with manifest file and docker images?
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi will bump vote email