

2019-06-06 TSC Meeting notes

Date

06 Jun 2019

Attendees

- Prabhjot Singh Sethi
- Daniel Pono Takamori
- Edward Ting
- Casey Cain
- @alexlevine
- Will Stevens
- Darien Hirotsu
- Ian Rae
- Rudra Dubey
- Syed Ahmed

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- Antitrust Policy
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes))
- Release 5.1
 - Follow up on vote for manifest to be used for r5.1 release
 - Once approved docker images can be pushed to tungsten fabric namespace
 - Do we need to publish RPMs ?
- Contrail to Tungsten fabric rebranding
 - What needs to be changed and targeted timelines
- General Topics
 - NCDC update <https://wiki.tungsten.io/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=10060302>
 - CLA update

Minutes

- Past action items
 - Prabhjot Singh Sethi Got Docker Hub access
- New TF repos
 - Publish tf-event repo (tf-dev-env ?)
 - Need Juniper ok to publish repos in tf namespace
- Casey Cain Needs patch for website merged
 - <https://github.com/tungstenfabric/website/pulls>
 - will work with Will Stevens and VM (Vicky) Brasseur (she/her) for updating website
 - will: any plans to update the email
 - wrong email address in actual CLA document describing where to send CLA
 - casey: will update CLA document
- 5.1 release
 - vote on manifest file
 - will: can we allow the commit that fixes the memory leak?
 - prabhjot: it might not make the cut, but we can have it make the next
 - will: feels bad to release something with a bug when the fix exists
 - casey: maybe a service release?
 - prabhjot: would rather cut a release and then after CI exists do a service release
 - casey: we've got quorum
 - darien: +1 to release
 - sukhdev: we've tested the release?
 - prabhjot: yes, sanity test with multiple vms on a cluster
 - sukhdev: we've picked prabhjot as the release manager for 5.1 release, so +1 to release
 - casey: TSC still needs to vote
 - could vote since we have quorum or wait or vote over email
 - talk about proxies
 - come back to vote end of call or do over email
 - ian: +1 to release
 - ed: problems with releasing artifacts with references to 'contrail'?
 - casey: need to follow up with lawyers about legal issues to trademark
 - will: docs is currently working on rebranding from contrails to tungsten specific
 - keeping some contrail naming so users are not confused
 - prabhjot: would prefer to release after clearing with legal

- will: the 5.0 TF release also contains contrail references
 - so we might just be able to release
- prabhjot: that was an oversight
- ian: if 5.0 was released, we should just push ahead and address in the future
- casey: mike dolan (lawyer with LF), "probably not"
- ian: concern is that we keep delaying and get caught up going back and forth
- casey: should not release with trademarked symbols
- will: what is contrail in this context, just a user name?
- sukhdev: if community wants to make a change, let them patch it
 - we just need resources to do it
- will: juniper is also a part of the community, so we can't leave it up to the rest of the community
- sukhdev: will push for a patch on juniper's side to get it done
- ed: if documentation doesn't contain contrails, +1 to release
- casey: juniper has a vested interest in getting the community to take over the code base
 - if someone from the community can and is willing to submit code, we can move more towards TF owning all the code
 - getting the TF code moved into LF gerrit should be the #1 priority
 - contrail is only a consumer of TF and we should not be held by them
- sukhdev: will personally help get patches pushed into mainline
 - getting the community to own the patches and pushed into mainline is a good idea
- ian: juniper is a part of the community
- sukhdev: the transition is bogged by other technicalities, but we should still be pushing
- ian: pushing past the awkwardness of the split right now is important as we move forward
 - we still need to be mindful of dealing with juniper and this transitional period
 - **let's not get into a situation where we are concerned with a trademark issue, and hoping that juniper wouldn't sue the community because of hardcoded 'contrails' in releases**
- sukhdev: let's get the patch going
- will: it's more complicated than that, we don't know how removing/ changing it will affect the rest of the codebase
 - it's important that we have the right people make that change
- casey: we need to try and be as careful as possible but it's not the end of the world
 - we've already made an effort to get 5.1 out the door with minimal bugs
 - let's take the time to get the trademarks out and live in a painful time shortly
- alex: this has been discussed internally
 - would be really difficult to do
 - first change repo names, then establish ci and tests
- will: there's a layered effect
 - contrail will be in the dom model, it'll be all over
 - first focus on what's visible
 - alex made a good point about how to approach it
 - we cannot just do a find and replace
 - alex's approach is the most reasonable
 - focus on what's visible
 - not a super high priority
- prabhjot: can we start a thread on rebranding?
- casey: pick this up at TWS call
- prabhjot: let's just try and get this into email to save time
- NCDC Update
 - Please look at the agenda and let [Edward Ting](#) know if you have any feedback.
 - SK: Looks good to him
- CLA update
 - talked a bit
 - will: there's a new email to CLAs to?
 - who does that get sent to and how does it end up in gerrit
 - casey: send to cla@tungsten.io
 - who receives that: CLA managers (list)
 - will: who actually puts an ICLA into gerrit
 - casey: casey, randy vicky and sukhdev have access to the repository where CLAs are stored
 - then, one of those people enable that in gerrit for whoever submitted
 - **this is only for review.opencontrail.org**
 - as we move to gerrit.tungsten.io, we have the automated system for CLA + gerrit
 - will: solve for the old since that's what's happening right now
 - who's responsible for getting the cla into gerrit?
 - casey: one of the juniper people + casey can put CLA into repository and then one of randy, vicky or sukhdev can enable in gerrit
 - will: will test later
 - sukhdev: didn't know I could do this, will figure it out
 - casey: [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur](#) (she/her) said she would be primary on this
- **vote on release over email**
- casey is out next week for LFN event in sweden

Action items

- ✓ Casey Cain update old CLA document to reflect new address
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi when we have quorum, will publish dev-sandbox with manifest file and docker images ?
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi will bump vote email