

# 2019-06-06 TSC Meeting notes

## Date

06 Jun 2019

## Attendees

- Prabhjot Singh Sethi
- Daniel Pono Takamori
- Edward Ting
- Casey Cain
- @alexlevine
- Will Stevens
- Darien Hirotsu
- Ian Rae
- Rudra Dubey
- Syed Ahmed

## Agenda

- Start the Recording
- Antitrust Policy
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes))
- Release 5.1
  - Follow up on vote for manifest to be used for r5.1 release
  - Once approved docker images can be pushed to tungsten fabric namespace
  - Do we need to publish RPMs ?
- Contrail to Tungsten fabric rebranding
  - What needs to be changed and targeted timelines
- General Topics
  - NCDC update <https://wiki.tungsten.io/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=10060302>
  - CLA update

## Minutes

- Past action items
  - Prabhjot Singh Sethi Got Docker Hub access
- New TF repos
  - Publish tf-event repo (tf-dev-env ?)
  - Need Juniper ok to publish repos in tf namespace
- Casey Cain Needs patch for website merged
  - <https://github.com/tungstenfabric/website/pulls>
  - will work with Will Stevens and VM (Vicky) Brasseur (she/her) for updating website
  - will: any plans to update the email
    - wrong email address in actual CLA document describing where to send CLA
  - casey: will update CLA document
- 5.1 release
  - vote on manifest file
  - will: can we allow the commit that fixes the memory leak?
  - prabhjot: it might not make the cut, but we can have it make the next
  - will: feels bad to release something with a bug when the fix exists
  - casey: maybe a service release?
  - prabhjot: would rather cut a release and then after CI exists do a service release
  - casey: we've got quorum
  - darien: +1 to release
  - sukhdev: we've tested the release?
  - prabhjot: yes, sanity test with multiple vms on a cluster
  - sukhdev: we've picked prabhjot as the release manager for 5.1 release, so +1 to release
  - casey: TSC still needs to vote
    - could vote since we have quorum or wait or vote over email
  - talk about proxies
  - come back to vote end of call or do over email
  - ian: +1 to release
  - ed: problems with releasing artifacts with references to 'contrail'?
  - casey: need to follow up with lawyers about legal issues to trademark
  - will: docs is currently working on rebranding from contrails to tungsten specific
    - keeping some contrail naming so users are not confused
  - prabhjot: would prefer to release after clearing with legal

- will: the 5.0 TF release also contains contrail references
  - so we might just be able to release
- prabhjot: that was an oversight
- ian: if 5.0 was released, we should just push ahead and address in the future
- casey: mike dolan (lawyer with LF), "probably not"
- ian: concern is that we keep delaying and get caught up going back and forth
- casey: should not release with trademarked symbols
- will: what is contrail in this context, just a user name?
- sukhdev: if community wants to make a change, let them patch it
  - we just need resources to do it
- will: juniper is also a part of the community, so we can't leave it up to the rest of the community
- sukhdev: will push for a patch on juniper's side to get it done
- ed: if documentation doesn't contain contrails, +1 to release
- casey: juniper has a vested interest in getting the community to take over the code base
  - if someone from the community can and is willing to submit code, we can move more towards TF owning all the code
  - getting the TF code moved into LF gerrit should be the #1 priority
  - contrail is only a consumer of TF and we should not be held by them
- sukhdev: will personally help get patches pushed into mainline
  - getting the community to own the patches and pushed into mainline is a good idea
- ian: juniper is a part of the community
- sukhdev: the transition is bogged by other technicalities, but we should still be pushing
- ian: pushing past the awkwardness of the split right now is important as we move forward
  - we still need to be mindful of dealing with juniper and this transitional period
  - **let's not get into a situation where we are concerned with a trademark issue, and hoping that juniper wouldn't sue the community because of hardcoded 'contrails' in releases**
- sukhdev: let's get the patch going
- will: it's more complicated than that, we don't know how removing/ changing it will affect the rest of the codebase
  - it's important that we have the right people make that change
- casey: we need to try and be as careful as possible but it's not the end of the world
  - we've already made an effort to get 5.1 out the door with minimal bugs
  - let's take the time to get the trademarks out and live in a painful time shortly
- alex: this has been discussed internally
  - would be really difficult to do
  - first change repo names, then establish ci and tests
- will: there's a layered effect
  - contrail will be in the dom model, it'll be all over
  - first focus on what's visible
  - alex made a good point about how to approach it
  - we cannot just do a find and replace
  - alex's approach is the most reasonable
  - focus on what's visible
  - not a super high priority
- prabhjot: can we start a thread on rebranding?
- casey: pick this up at TWS call
- prabhjot: let's just try and get this into email to save time
- NCDC Update
  - Please look at the agenda and let [Edward Ting](#) know if you have any feedback.
  - SK: Looks good to him
- CLA update
  - talked a bit
  - will: there's a new email to CLAs to?
    - who does that get sent to and how does it end up in gerrit
  - casey: send to [cla@tungsten.io](mailto:cla@tungsten.io)
    - who receives that: CLA managers (list)
  - will: who actually puts an ICLA into gerrit
  - casey: casey, randy vicky and sukhdev have access to the repository where CLAs are stored
    - then, one of those people enable that in gerrit for whoever submitted
    - **this is only for review.opencontrail.org**
    - as we move to gerrit.tungsten.io, we have the automated system for CLA + gerrit
  - will: solve for the old since that's what's happening right now
    - who's responsible for getting the cla into gerrit?
  - casey: one of the juniper people + casey can put CLA into repository and then one of randy, vicky or sukhdev can enable in gerrit
  - will: will test later
  - sukhdev: didn't know I could do this, will figure it out
  - casey: [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur](#) (she/her) said she would be primary on this
- **vote on release over email**
- casey is out next week for LFN event in sweden

## Action items

- ✓ Casey Cain update old CLA document to reflect new address
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi when we have quorum, will publish dev-sandbox with manifest file and docker images ?
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi will bump vote email