2019-06-18 Branding/Positioning Call

Date

18 Jun 2019

Meeting Recording:

https://wiki.tungsten.io/download/attachments/10060441/20190618-MWG-positioning_call.mp4?api=v2

Attendees



- VM (Vicky) Brasseur (she/her)
- Lisa Caywood
- Randy Bias
- Will Stevens
- Maddison Long
- Syed Ahmed
- Jill Lovato

Agenda

• Start the Recording (started!)

Minutes

- · RLB with the background on TF
 - Call to action that the project needs to move forward
 - Still currently very much in Carrier Land
 - Mostly carriers or hosting-type companies are leaning in
 - Need to get out of the network-focused messaging around Contrail & TF
 - Marketing messaging
 - Make docs useful for non-network-engineers
 - Make project easier to deploy with k8s
 - Why Kubernetes matters
 - Has effectively won the app platform world for the future
 - Is standard, with a broad reach
 - Accordingly, align well with CNCF and that ecosystem
 - Get mentioned in the same breath as Calico
 - Get more folks to recognize that TF is a good CNI for k8s
 - o swill: SDN space is in a bit of turmoil right now wrt the market
 - Some projects are having a challenging time (ODL)
 - Opportunity to establish leadership in the market
 - Separate from k8s, but still worth mentioning & keeping in mind

- LC: So...appeal of SDN is dead? Or the concept? Or...?
 - RLB: SDN is VMware messaging to network IT folks
 - New market is now developers, so need to speak their language
 - Focus on networking (L4-L7) with Istio (and other service mesh), Envoy, etc.
 - Aren't thinking of networking/SDN terms
 - Are thinking of k8s terms
 - For instance: Tigera & Calico are messaging around security, not SDN
- o swill: Are in a consumer market now; were in a delivery market for a long time
 - Based on consumer demand, rather than what the provider wants to build
 - Developers just want the features. Don't care how it's implemented.
 - Want to consume functionality.
 - In the past, esp around SDNs, service providers were in the tech & pushed it up the stack whether consumers wanted it or not
 - Thinks TF has the capability to support both sides of this
 - · Carriers/telcos aren't going anywhere Edge computing
 - TF has bright future in this way
 - Handles multi-cloud & interconnect between different services quite well
 - One of only SDNs that handles service chaining in a coherent manner
 - On other side, is a big gap between service providers and customers
 - Offering infrastructure, but developers want to consume platform
 - Service providers have to start delivering PaaS to attract workloads of developers. Kubernetes
 - Don't need to market as much to service providers since they know about it a bit more
 - But still opportunity there w/the SDN shakeup
 - TF well-positioned to be the solution to their problems
- Key audiences
 - Service providers (intentionally vague; many different sorts)
 - To expose the functionality to be consumed
 - Value prop to this audience revolves around their consumers
 - Provide platform that's intuitive and easy to use from a platform perspective
 - RLB: Carriers don't care quite as much about what the customers want
 - Use TF as an internal tool to get operational leverage and scale
 - Are quite comfortable with the direct sales model (Juniper stepping in and providing a networking solution)
 - Support for open source model, but prefer commercially supported implementations
 - swill: A lot of orgs are forced to adopt a service provider model even for their own IT
 - Enterprise application developers on public clouds
 - Using k8s as base substrate
 - Consuming the services
 - Not traditional on-prem enterprise IT (these are wrapped up in vmware)
 - Go for the enterprise devs early in their app lifecycle
 - Start using TF early on, easier to transition to IT
 - Trojan horse play
 - As soon as they adopt public clouds for enterprise apps, you step into mult-cloud land where TF plays very well
 - o Public clouds?
 - No, they're not a target audience for us
 - But recently they're starting to figure out that multi-cloud is a thing
 - Have a sympathetic ear because of this, but not a target audience
- Hybrid cloud
 - o RLB: TF can drive security and policies even in public clouds
 - Can be a good story here for basic multi-cloud across public clouds
 - "Easy failover to GCP if there's an AWS outage..."
 - Hard to do 2 deployments on 2 different clouds; TF abstracts this away and makes it easier
 - o swill: Example: geopolitically, hybrid cloud is becoming more critical in Canada
 - Data Sovereignty can become a requirement, simply because data needs to live at home while services are hosted in public cloud
 - TF has the ability and functionality to simplify this
- Define "service provider" swill
 - Traditional: telcos
 - O New: a service being operated by a team other than that consuming it
 - Internal-only
 - Public
 - o Consumers care about consuming tech as a service, not running it
 - Even if they run it, they'll consume it as a service
 - Anyone who builds and runs a platform that delivers services
- Looking forward for vrouter
 - There's talk of a next-gen vrouter, separate from TF
 - o RH, Juniper, Intel
 - O Ubiquitous networking mesh
 - Would ship with the linux kernel, standard interface
 - $^{\circ}\,$ Could then select the SDN controller you want
- Comparing what TF is today against other things that the audiences are looking at. What does TF offer that the others don't?
 - VMB: What even are the competitors here?
 - In k8s ecosystem, it's all Calico (and commercial Tigera)
 - Cilium
 - ODL not really a player as much in our audiences
 - Canal (Flannel + Calico)
 - DPDK/SmartNics (but in layman's terms; terms that the developers **think** they understand are totally cool) marketing the outcome: packet performance acceleration
 - What does TF do to solve the problems?
 - Enable use of DPDK
 - Move network work out of the kernel and into userspace

- Allows for much better perf
 A feature of DPDK but TF allows you to leverage it without changing your entire architecture
 swill believes that with the rise of edge computing then having some sort of network performance optionality will become table stakes

- Security
 - Dig into more next time
- BGP
- Not everyone supports it and it's surprisingly useful

Action items

Lisa Ca	ywoodFor	next time:	dig into	Service	Chaining,	Security,	BGP