

2019-06-18 Technical Work Stream

Date

18 Jun 2019

Attendees

- [Prabhjot Singh Sethi](#)
- [Casey Cain](#)
- [Daniel Pono Takamori](#)
- [Anand Rao](#)
- [Darien Hirotsu](#)
- Gary Greenberg
- [Martin Mailand](#)
- [Valentin Sinitsyn](#)
- [Will Stevens](#)
- Alex Ankudinov
- [Joseph Gasparakis](#)
- Alex Levine
- [Kiran KN](#)
- [Rudra Dubey](#)
- Saket
- Pujita
- Soujanya
- Subramanian M
- Subbu
- [Jim St. Leger](#)

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- [Antitrust Policy](#)
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes))
- Future plan for TPC (Alex to share some details)
- Tungsten fabric with dpdk library from upstream
 - Compilation, commit to TF ?
 - Testing, what tests can be run to validate bugs/missing features in upstream code
 - TF-dev-env to include a build option for compilation against pre-compiled dpdk library/targets
- General Topics

Minutes

- Intel perf doc
 - Joseph still working on getting legal approval to release this
- Joseph going on 9 week sabbatical next week
 - Getting coverage
 - Will email w/that info
- Future plans for TPCs (third party code/binaries being used to build the containers)
 - The issue: Currently have no insight into what source is being used for TPCs
 - Alex summary of existing
 - Some prebuilt rpms
 - Some pre-built by Contrail
 - rpm specs
 - Built from source by Contrail team
 - Some very complicated dependencies
 - Very old, can't even find provenance
 - Generally best not to change anything
 - PS: Will need to use pre-built, then?
 - Artefacts stored in Nexus
 - Only option right now, not building from source
 - PS: Community would like to switch to the upstream binaries
 - Are some Juniper binaries have contrail- in the name, implying Contrail-related fixes are in there, would rather not use those
 - Alex: these are open source & Juniper handed them to the community as well, maybe just need to rename the binaries
 - PS: Won't work; are still not upstream code; would like to start transitioning to this (will be a process)
 - Enable more community/project independence
 - Would like to move away from privately-provided/-built libraries
- Compiling TF with upstream dpdk
 - Would like to build with upstream dpdk rather than Contrail dpdk

- Pre-built binary from dpdk.org should theoretically work
- PS has made some minor changes in vrouter for this
 - Option in build process to pick which binaries to use
 - Doesn't work with just this
 - Was an assumption in the code in the stats collection
 - Configurable in upstream, assumed in Contrail
 - Add a void for it for now
 - Contrail variables for PKT_RX_GSO for TCP4/6
 - Contrail extension for GSO
 - Not sure how to work around this yet to get the compilation to work
 - JG: This has been around for a while, should upstream dpdk
 - PS: Don't know the process for this, since these flags won't be used by anyone else
 - JG: Sure, not used since they don't there exist yet
 - Rudra (RD): Is a config flag for this in Contrail kernel-based vrouter
 - Probably added this in their dpdk to support this in their code as well
 - PS: dpdk has own implementation of GSO support, though
 - But this looks like a TF/Contrail-specific implementation
 - Not getting benefit of complete GSO implementation in dpdk
- Short term
 - Not change the functionality
 - Just run using dpdk.org binaries
- Long term
 - Take advantage of features in upstream dpdk.org
- Existing changes allow the compile to complete
 - Still need to test
 - Who defines what needs to be tested with dpdk when using an external library?
- JG: Compile is step 1
 - Fix the GSO stuff
 - Does it start?
 - Test that it can pass traffic
 - Trace to make sure perf doesn't get degraded
- Contrail dpdk is v18.05
 - PS's version isn't the same, same compile instructions don't work, missing patches
 - Can't compile with gcc optimization on in v18.05
 - So used v18.08
 - Community needs to figure this out
 - If not building with optimization on, will be a perf hit
 - Need to choose a version
 - JG suspects it'll be OK to move to v18.08
 - Will also need to look at LTS releases & decide whether to go that way (would be slower updates)
 - RD: People using it in production
 - Versioning isn't very agile for this
 - If we stick to LTS it'll be more stable
 - If customer needs certain hardware support, can request/contribute it from/to community or vendor
- LG: For now, just trying to figure out the state of things
 - Then can start planning which release we wish to use and how to get there
- Patch needs a review: <https://review.opencontrail.org/#/c/51201>
 - Please have a look and give feedback on the patch

Action items

- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi Write up a Jira ticket for the GSO work
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi Write up a Jira ticket for which upstream dpdk version to use
- ✓ Prabhjot Singh Sethi Will send dpdk compile patch to Joseph Gasparakis for perf testing w/in Intel submit a patch for review