2019-07-18 TSC Meeting notes

Date

18 Jul 2019

Attendees

- Daniel Pono Takamori
- Casey Cain
- Edward Ting
- @alex levine
- Prabhjot Singh Sethi
- Randy Bias
- Rudra Dubey
- Syed Ahmed
- Sukhdev Kapur
- Ian Rae
- Syed Ahmed
- Will Stevens
- Darien Hirotsu
- bjskerry

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- Antitrust Policy
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes)
- General Topics
- Talk with AT&T about their tests
    - https://github.com/tungstenfabric/tungsten-resource-test/pull/1/commits/50e46cbf579de6c12f4b700149b176c72efe7e31
    - re: Infra meeting: 2019-07-16 Infra WG Meeting notes
- Roadmap?
- Blueprints to be discussed from Juniper side
- re-branding from contrail to tungsten
- Upcoming conferences and TF related talks
    - ONS EU - Tungsten Fabric and MobileEdgeX talk is approved
    - KubeCon NA - Submitted joint talk on Tungsten Fabric and Network Service Mesh integration
    - OpenStack - Shanghai -
        - Submitted joint talk on Tungsten Fabric and StarlingX integration
        - Submitted joint talk on Tungsten Fabric and OpenStack integration - using OVS/SRIOV workloads

Minutes

- **Action Items**
    - 5.1 release notes
        - getting worked on
    - Core committers/ PTLs
        - waiting on repo migration
- **AT&T Tests**
    - need to liaise with AT&T after Zuul setup is more stable
    - some design differences will make it harder to integrate into existing tests
        - there is communication on the PR
- **Roadmap**
    - Releases changing to monthly, eg 1908 for August 2019
    - still need to bring blueprints into community so we can align releases
    - what is TF's schedule given we are a bit beholden to Juniper?
        - stick to monthlies until we can cut proper releases?
    - edward: which naming scheme should we use? ie, 1907 vs 5.x
    - randy: stick with Juniper's scheme
        - sort of locks us into monthly releasing convention
        - need to explain why the version change
    - bjskerry: shouldn't be too much of a learning curve 🙂
    - will: 1908 doesn't mean we are forced into monthly releases
        - refers more to when the release was cut
    - rudra:
    - randy: we are still leveraging junipers release schedule/ naming
    - casey: we can diverge from juniper, just a decision for the tsc
    - prabhjot: adopting the release versioning scheme is probably the best idea for the community

- - - we might not need to match the release cycle
    - prabjot: we are pigging backing off of juniper
    - will: we don't have to maintain the same cadence
      - use same release but cut a release every other month
      - when you have an OSS and commercial project based on same code
        - when versioning schemes are different it's hard on users since shared codebase isn't clear
    - prabhjot: from a community perspective, anyone who is evaluating the software is looking for feature sets not upgrade path
    - randy: the communities job is to make TF as successful as possible
      - if we look at TF users, they are carriers using contrail not TF
      - expecting to be able to map commercial to OSS
    - edward: how to vote?
    - prabhjot: how can we be consistent with the scheme?
    - bjskerry: can we do it here or do we need to send it to email
      - next week, let's have a concrete proposal
    - Will Stevens write initial proposal and get feedback form juniper people
- **Blueprints**
  - Sukhdev Kapurstill trying to figure it out
  - prabhjot: short on technical discussions
    - mostly only **DPDK** and **rebranding efforts**
    - some juniper people are on the call but we need more people
  - sukhdev: will get some Mellanox folks on the call to talk about SmartNIC stuff
- **Rebranding**
  - prabhjot: use blueprints and then implement changes
    - first phase: changing artifacts, rename to Tungsten
    - will propose changes and get feedback
- **Upcoming Talks**
  - sukhdev: anyone else giving talks?
    - **crickets**
    - talking at ONS EU
      - Tungsten Fabric and MobileEdgeX
    - KubeCon San Diego
      - networking and servicemesh with Frederick
    - OpenStack - Shanghai
      - proposed joint talk on Tungsten Fabric and StarlingX integration
      - proposed joint talk on Tungsten Fabric and OpenStack integration - using OVS/SRIOV workloads
        - will upload video to wiki
        - 13 min video
    - darien: can help with container based chaining services
    - sukhdev: join juniper folks at the TWS call with prabhjot
    - prabhjot: has submitted a similar talk to KubeCon
    - sukhdev: CSRX, containerized based file service
      - there is also a host based firewall being integrated into TF
      - will get docs/ info
    - will: sending some information to lists would be great to get interested parties involved
- sukhdev: while at kubecon in china
  - there is desire to build chinese community
  - would love to see more community volunteering to build this effort
- casey: the LFN has money set aside for meetups
  - can make an expense report to reimburse
  - a template exists to get reimbursed up to ~10$ a person up to 250$ an event
- sukhdev: maybe edward could help
- casey: bring this info to our marketing group but also the MAC
  - they can help with resources and languages issues

Action items

- ☑ Will Stevenssend initial proposal to TSC list for a vote next week

- ☑ Sukhdev Kapur add video to wiki (from Will: there's a button at the top to add content)

- ☑ Sukhdev Kapur will get developer to come to a meeting and present