

TF DDF 2019: Contributor Experience discussion and feedback

Date

18 Nov 2019

Minutes

- [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur \(she/her\)](#) & [Will Stevens](#)
- [Syed Ahmed](#)
- [Lisa Caywood](#)
- [Casey Cain](#)
- [Ian Rae](#)
- [Darien Hirotsu](#)
- We're opening tickets as soon as they come up! See our Jira.
 - <https://jira.tungsten.io>
- Today's focus will be a discussion on contributing to TF.
 - Docs needs a LOT of help. 😊
 - Let's focus on what we can do to make it suck less
- Leading question: How many of you have installed Tungsten Fabric?
 - A number of "yes's"
 - Tools used:
 - tf-devstack
 - Contrail Ansible & fab scripts
 - CloudOps Automation Tooling
 - <https://github.com/cloudops/tf-demo>
- Next leading question: Were you able to get it to work twice in a row?
 - Mostly "no's"
- What should the process be?
 - Submit a Blueprint to Jira
 - Defend the Blueprint at the Technical Workstream Meeting
 - Once the Blueprint is accepted, create a Spec and land it in Gerrit
 - The PTL for the project in question reviews the Spec and approves it, so it can be implemented
 - Note that there are challenges with this since well... we don't have PTLs (also we need to determine what the projects are)
 - Implement the Spec once the Technical Workstream
 - If you are a first time contributor, submit an ICLA or CCLA via Gerrit (assumes that all repositories have been moved)
 - Once the initial implementation is complete, test the implementation locally
 - This is a challenge given the state of tf-devstack, but let's start here (see
 - Submit a Gerrit patch once the implementation is complete and tested locally
 - Note that there are challenges with docs given the state of Zuul
 - Once you have +2, the code is merged
 - Note here, there is no developer guide to navigate this
 - Developer guide should:
 - Where and how to setup a bug/blueprint
 - Templates
 - Have a section to test a change locally (See: <https://jira.tungsten.io/projects/TFP/issues/TFP-89?filter=allopenissues>)
 - Have a Gerrit reviewer section (how to review a patch)
 - Have a patch submission (Gerrit) section
- Many of us have tried to install tf-devstack to push a patch
 - WS: I've tried to VERY hard to get tf-devstack in a place where I can test my patches to feel confident that my code works
 - Lots of contorting and pain result from this exercise
 - The best place we landed was building the artifacts and pointing Contrail Ansible Deployer to a -private instance of Docker Hub with those artifacts
 - WS: Also, there are two architectures that result from the deployers.
 - Docker compose (Contrail Ansible Deployer)
 - HA is an issue with this deployment
 - No other CNI does it this way
 - Our guess is that Kubernetes was not in the picture when the deployer was created
 - Kubernetes manifest (One click guide)
 - These manifests haven't been updated in a year
 - They don't work any longer for Kubernetes 1.16 (Stateful and Daemon sets changed)
 - This is the way all other CNIs are built (and how TF should do it)
 - WS: Another challenge is building the manifest (see Blueprint)
 - <https://jira.tungsten.io/projects/TFP/issues/TFP-89?filter=allopenissues>
 - There is a shell script to help but the variables are inconsistent and it has to run on a host where the target CNI shall be installed
 - WS: If we can systematically build a manifest based on variables, then it's much easier to create a local dev environment
 - As an artifact, it also helps us integrate with deployers that DevOps engineers use like RKE
 - <https://github.com/rancher/rke>
 - It was not clear that the Blueprint created needed to be discussed in the Technical Workstream Meeting (oops)
 - Also, the TSC and the TWS members need better accountability to ensure that BluePrints don't die on the vine
 - Blueprint process issues

- Need clarification on the mapping of Blueprints to specs and releases
- Specs are the expected implementation of a Blueprint
 - PTLs will then have input on the spec
 - PTLs have the responsibility of ensuring technical issues like backwards compatibility
- CLA
 - We mostly have this figured out (thank you [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur \(she/her\)](#) and [Will Stevens](#))

Action items

- Casey Cain work with the TSC and TWS to drive the process with Prabhjot Singh Sethi to ensure BluePrints move through the process correctly (example issue: Will Stevens was not aware that he had to defend his blueprint with the TWS)