2019-03-07 Meeting Notes

Attendees

Edward Ting (Lenovo)

Prabhjot Singh Sethi (ATS)

Joseph Gasparakis (Intel)

Randy Bias (Juniper)

Darien Hirotsu (Redapt / SDN E)

Jim St. Leger

Anda Nicolae (Lenovo)

Sukhdev Kapur (Juniper)

Valentin Sinitsyn (Yandex)

Thanh Ha (zxiiro) (LF)

Daniel Pono Takamori (LF)

lan Rae (CloudOps)

Casey Cain (LF)

Send regrets: VMB (Juniper)

Agenda

- Review action items from 2019-02-28 Meeting notes
- TC election results
- TSC chair election (https://wiki.tungsten.io/x/p4Bo)
- CLA automation update
- TSC priority brainstorming (see 2019 TSC Priorities)
 - o Particularly release cycle: 9 months will not be nearly fast enough for downstream commercial distributions like Juniper's Contrail
 - Related: do we think the TF community is in the distribution business or not? It directly affects how we think about the build/release process
- ARB member replacement from Nachi Ueno to Shivayogi Ugaji (added by Sukhdev)
- VMB: Before considering this, should probably resolve the ARB question, which is one of the things on 2019 TSC Priorities
- Interns there are three candidates who have sent emails to the community (added by Sukhdev)
 - VMB: The GSoC team is tracking this stuff in an invite-only spreadsheet; ping Valentine if you want access
- Opt-in Anonymous Demographics Diagnostics for TF?
- doc group meeting

Minutes

- Docs meeting setup for Wednesday 8:30 PST
- CLAs sent over
- TSC priority brainstorming
 - another week for feedback
 - o need better technical feedback
 - o docs and workflow for new devs
 - how can I do this as a new dev?
 - o need docs before anything else to grow new devs/ community
 - From Randy Bias:
 - 1. ease of use, devstack like experience, expand into kubernetes/ other products
 - 2. better metrics on community involvement, more deliberate upstream contributions/ communication
 - 3. streamline governance, ARB
 - build release process
- GSOC approved! GSoC 2019
- need to have some technical writers for documentation team
 - whether from Juniper or elsewhere, probably need some directed effort
- different tiers of documentation
 - o markdown in github for devs
 - don't tie docs to master
 - o point people to releases for better docs
- ARB member replacement
 - replacing with another Juniper dev Shivayogi

- · Need to rewrite main tungsten.io page with up to date
- Interns:
 - o Expectations codified
 - o still in proposal phase
- Diagnostics:
 - o don't know who the community is
 - o built-in anonymous usage statistics
 - o opt-out
 - o thoughts:
 - RLB: can we add something to the GUI that prompts people to opt-in?
 - pono: debian does this with their "popularity contest" package: https://proxy.duckduckgo.com/iu/?u=https%3A%2F% 2Fmedia.if-not-true-then-false.com%2F2012%2F08%2F49-debian-popularity-contest.png&f=1
 - Casey: ability to opt-out at any time; what are the GDPR issues?
 - Casey: Brandon Wick and Jill Lovato feedback on how to incentivize participation?
 - how to get more visibility into community through code?
 - "usually negative reaction to putting this kind of code into code base"
 - will probably need to be opt-in, could incentivize?
 - need to rope in some marketing/ community management folks into the conversation
 - RLB: easiest way to do the opt-out is with a button in the GUI that has some boilerplate language explaining what, why, and how to opt-out in the future; button turns a different color when you are sending regular diagnostics

• Build Release Timeline:

- o how long should be the timeline be, ie 6 vs 9 months
- o goals in release? maybe just build a stable release?
- o nightly, weekly, monthly (unstable, testing, stable/LTS)
- o first need to move build release away from Juniper
- o sidenote: what is the upgrade path
 - sort of a rolling release for the LTS
 - no guarantees for upgrades on nightly/ testing
 - sanity testing for nightlies
- o some testing takes on the order of a month in order to test on 10k nodes
- o concerns over the engineering force required for testing
 - RLB: once automated and setup, should be streamlined
 - JG: might need a couple senior engineers to keep it running
- o since most users are devs, can probably integrate tests as the projects grows
- o need some goals to aim for and some docs so everyone is on the same page
- o need more robust tagging than pointing to commit hashes
 - branches/ tagging/ on whatever release cycle is chosen
 - branches for nightly/ weekly/ stable and tags for releases

Action Items

- Randy Bias Setup the high level priorities before next call
- Randy Bias / Casey Cain Redo members list on website
- Casey Cain GDPR requirements for usage data
- Casey Cain Brandon Wick and Jill Lovato feedback on how to incentivize participation?
- Edward Ting import docs into master
- ✓ Edward Ting docs on branch/ tagging policy (or someone else)