

2019-03-07 Meeting Notes

Attendees

Edward Ting (Lenovo)
Prabhjot Singh Sethi (ATS)
Joseph Gasparakis (Intel)
Randy Bias (Juniper)
Darien Hirotsu (Redapt / SDN E)
Jim St. Leger
Anda Nicolae (Lenovo)
Sukhdev Kapur (Juniper)
Valentin Sinitsyn (Yandex)
Thanh Ha (zxiiro) (LF)
Daniel Pono Takamori (LF)
Ian Rae (CloudOps)
Casey Cain (LF)
Send regrets: VMB (Juniper)

Agenda

- Review action items from [2019-02-28 Meeting notes](#)
- TC election results
- TSC chair election (<https://wiki.tungsten.io/x/p4Bo>)
- CLA automation update
- TSC priority brainstorming (see [2019 TSC Priorities](#))
 - Particularly release cycle: 9 months will not be nearly fast enough for downstream commercial distributions like Juniper's Contrail
 - Related: do we think the TF community is in the distribution business or not? It directly affects how we think about the build/release process
- ARB member replacement from Nachi Ueno to Shivayogi Ugaji (added by Sukhdev)
 - VMB: Before considering this, should probably resolve the [ARB question](#), which is one of the things on [2019 TSC Priorities](#)
- Interns - there are three candidates who have sent emails to the community (added by Sukhdev)
 - VMB: The GSoC team is tracking this stuff in an invite-only spreadsheet; ping Valentine if you want access
- Opt-in Anonymous Demographics Diagnostics for TF?
- doc group meeting

Minutes

- Docs meeting setup for Wednesday 8:30 PST
- CLAs sent over
- **TSC priority brainstorming**
 - another week for feedback
 - need better technical feedback
 - docs and workflow for new devs
 - how can I do this as a new dev?
 - need docs before anything else to grow new devs/ community
 - From [Randy Bias](#):
 1. ease of use, devstack like experience, expand into kubernetes/ other products
 2. better metrics on community involvement, more deliberate upstream contributions/ communication
 3. streamline governance, ARB
 4. build release process
- GSOC approved! [GSoC 2019](#)
- **need to have some technical writers for documentation team**
 - whether from Juniper or elsewhere, probably need some directed effort
- different tiers of documentation
 - markdown in github for devs
 - don't tie docs to master
 - point people to releases for better docs
- ARB member replacement
 - replacing with another Juniper dev Shivayogi

- Need to rewrite main tungsten.io page with up to date
- **Interns:**
 - Expectations codified
 - still in proposal phase
- **Diagnostics:**
 - don't know who the community is
 - built-in anonymous usage statistics
 - opt-out
 - thoughts:
 - RLB: can we add something to the GUI that prompts people to opt-in?
 - pono: debian does this with their "popularity contest" package: <https://proxy.duckduckgo.com/iu/?u=https%3A%2F%2Fmedia.if-not-true-then-false.com%2F2012%2F08%2F49-debian-popularity-contest.png&f=1>
 - Casey: ability to opt-out at any time; what are the GDPR issues?
 - Casey: Brandon Wick and Jill Lovato feedback on how to incentivize participation?
 - how to get more visibility into community through code?
 - "usually negative reaction to putting this kind of code into code base"
 - will probably need to be opt-in, could incentivize?
 - need to rope in some marketing/ community management folks into the conversation
 - RLB: easiest way to do the opt-out is with a button in the GUI that has some boilerplate language explaining what, why, and how to opt-out in the future; button turns a different color when you are sending regular diagnostics
- **Build Release Timeline:**
 - how long should be the timeline be, ie 6 vs 9 months
 - goals in release? maybe just build a stable release?
 - nightly, weekly, monthly (unstable, testing, stable/LTS)
 - first need to move build release away from Juniper
 - sidenote: what is the upgrade path
 - sort of a rolling release for the LTS
 - no guarantees for upgrades on nightly/ testing
 - sanity testing for nightlies
 - some testing takes on the order of a month in order to test on 10k nodes
 - concerns over the engineering force required for testing
 - RLB: once automated and setup, should be streamlined
 - JG: might need a couple senior engineers to keep it running
 - since most users are devs, can probably integrate tests as the projects grows
 - **need some goals to aim for and some docs so everyone is on the same page**
 - need more robust tagging than pointing to commit hashes
 - branches/ tagging/ on whatever release cycle is chosen
 - **branches for nightly/ weekly/ stable and tags for releases**

Action Items

- ✓ Randy Bias Setup the high level priorities before next call
- ✓ Randy Bias / Casey Cain Redo members list on website
- ✓ Casey Cain GDPR requirements for usage data
- ✓ Casey Cain Brandon Wick and Jill Lovato feedback on how to incentivize participation?
- ✓ Edward Ting import docs into master
- ✓ Edward Ting docs on branch/ tagging policy (or someone else)